PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From	the RNATIONAL SEAR	CHING ALITHO	ORITY			REC'D 22 A	APR 2005
To:	THAT TOTAL SEAT				PC	WIPO	PCT
Inter	icant's or agent's file of form PCT/ISA/22 mational application NT/IB2005/050172	20 No.	International filing date (c. 14.01.2005	19.01.2004			
	mational Patent Class 1B23/03	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
	licant NINKLIJKE PHIL	IPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.	_			
1.	 ☑ Box No. II Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application 						
2.	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detai	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Na	me and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			Palmer.

Sozzi, R

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3613

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. 431 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050172

Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 						
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material:						
☐ a sequence listing						
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material:						
☐ in written format						
☐ in computer readable form						
c. time of filing/furnishing:						
☐ contained in the international application as filed.						
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additional comments:						

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims

No:

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims 5-8
No: Claims 1-4

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims 8
No: Claims 1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims 1-8

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 013, no. 361 (P-917), 11 August 1989 (1989-08-11) -& JP 01 119974 A (NIPPON TELEGR & TELEPH CORP <NTT>), 12 May 1989 (1989-05-12)

D2: US-A-5 090 010 (TAKAHASHI ET AL) 18 February 1992 (1992-02-18)

- 1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.1 Regarding claim 1.

The document D1 discloses a disk cartridge comprising (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

- a disk (13) having a central cup shaped hub (14),
- a housing (11, 12),
- the cartridge comprising a clamping member (11,51) to clamp the disk to one side of the cartridge housing when the cartridge is free from a disk drive,

the housing and the disk have co-operating centering members (14,15) for centering the disk with respect to the cartridge housing when the disk is clamped to the housing by the clamping member (11,51).

1.2 Dependent claims 2-4 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty.

Document 1 discloses:

a conical portion (15) provided on the inner wall of the housing and a circumferential portion (14) that can engage the conical portion.

Therefore claims 1-4 are not new.

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050172

subject-matter of dependent claims 5-7 do not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT in view of the combination of the disclosures in documents D1 and D2.

3. The combination of the features of dependent claim 8 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.